



Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Via Electronic Filing

Re: MM Dkt 99-25 In the Matter of the Creation of a Low Power Radio Service

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Attached please find the signatures of 63 people — residents of Utah — in support of two important components of the Federal Communications Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service.

In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. To best serve the diverse needs of local communities, the FCC should adopt rules and policies that maximize the number of LPFM stations that can go on the air. For example, by allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double.

In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio.

Respectfully submitted,

_____/s/_____

Candace Clement
Free Press
(202) 265-1490

Cc:
FCC Chairman Julius Genachowski
FCC Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
FCC Commissioner Robert M. McDowell

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sterling Robison, Cottonwood Heights, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Chip Henneman, Layton, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. My partner works in public radio and this initiative is important to us financially. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Josh Lee, SLC, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Stuart McDonald, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Waylon Prince, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Burnett, salt lake city, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jamie C. Winters, salt lake city, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Michael Thiriot, SOUTH SALT LAKE, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jon Hager, Riverton, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Aaron Cook, North Ogden, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Arthur Sutherland, Sandy, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Bernadette Kane Cole, Springdale, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kevin Rapp, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John G. Kepros, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John H Burt, Draper, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Bruce Bell, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Eileen McCabe, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joe bateman, Salt Lake City, UT

WE NEED MORE LOCAL RADIO, NOT LESS. I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—M Garrett, Souh Jordan, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Bradon Liddle, Sandy, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Hokom, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Laurence Yorgason, Ogden, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Steve Frederick, holladay, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jennifer Lake, Taylorsville, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Andrea Beecher, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James J. Brooks, Park City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Thomas McCurdy, Sandy, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ann McMullen, Sandy, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Chris Cannon, SLC, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Robert Jones, Clearfield, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Leila Dethlefsen, SLC, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joel Anderson, Spanish Fork, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Nancy Kurtz, Moab, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Daniel Duncan, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Marc Draper, Millcreek, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Larry Bergan, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mark Lusch, Torrey, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jacob Hyden, Provo, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joe A. Carrera, Layton, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ekaterina Harrison, La Sal, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Cigy Cyriac, Draper, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Margith Maughan, salt lake city, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Richard Waldo, Riverdale, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—M Walker Wallace, salt lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Victor Gener, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Victor Gener, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Chad Bramble, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Daniel Reid, Kanab, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Deborah Thornton, Orangeville, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Shon Nelson, Draper, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Burt, Draper, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Brian Baldwin, Orem, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Danielle Montague-Judd, Wanship, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carston Oliver, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Craig Hylton, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joni Shepherd, Logan, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Krista Smith, Monticello, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Nick Burns, salt lake city, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Michael Stewart, Talorsville, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Heidi Evans, salt lake city, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Roman Samul, Sandy, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Judy Peters, Salt Lake City, UT

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Elizabeth Groscost, Cottonwood Heights, UT

